

**CODE  
ENFORCEMENT  
BOARD**

City Commission Chambers  
301 S. Ridgewood Ave., Daytona Beach, FL 32115

**Members: Weegie Kuendig, Chairman; Turner Hymes, Vice-Chairman; Neil Harrington, Karen Robey, Bradford Gonzalez, Matthew Reinhart & Katherine Marsh**

---

**August 11, 2022**

Members present:

Mrs. Weegie Kuendig, Chairman  
Mr. Bradford Gonzalez  
Mrs. Karen Robey  
Mr. Matthew Reinhart  
Ms. Katherine Marsh  
Mr. Neil Harrington  
Mr. Charles Cino, Esquire, Board Attorney

Staff present:

Mr. Anthony Jackson, Esq., Assistant City Attorney  
Mr. Denzil Sykes, Neighborhood Service Manager  
Mr. Mark Jones, Field Supervisor  
Ms. Sara Kirk, Code Inspector  
Mr. Roosevelt Butler, Code Inspector  
Mr. Mark Bostwick, Code Inspector  
Mr. Clearvens Jean-Baptiste, Code Inspector  
Mr. Steve Alderman, Code Inspector  
Mr. John Stenson, Code Inspector  
Mr. Curtis Wiggins, Code Inspector  
Mr. Kevin Yates, Code Inspector  
Mr. Joseph Graves, Audio/Video  
Mr. Xavier Campbell, Audio/Video  
Mr. Cortland Lampe, Police Officer  
Mrs. Brenda Seivwright, Board Secretary

Approval of Minutes by: Mary Louise "Weegie" Kuendig Chairman

The Chairman Mrs. Kuendig called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.  
Mrs. Seivwright called the roll. All present except Mrs. Hymes  
Mrs. Kuendig asked for a motion to excuse Mrs. Hymes, Mrs. Robey motioned and second by Mr. Gonzalez.  
Approval of June 9, 2022, corrected minutes.  
Mrs. Robey motioned to approve the minutes second Ms. Marsh

Mrs. Kuendig asked anyone needed to Disclosure of Ex Parte Communications  
And do we have any announcements?

Mrs. Seivwright announced the following cases in Compliance and the ones appearing via zoom.

CASE # 4 - CEB 02-22-70 - Jeffrey D Hill is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.7), at 1010 Libby Ave. Violation(s) – Outdoor storage and dilapidated roof.  
First Notified – 9/1/2021 **Compliance 8/8/2022**

CASE # 5 - CEB 07-22-149 - VMJ Investments LLC is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.,304.10 304.13), at 937 Glenwood St. Violation(s) – Dilapidated fence, dilapidated front porch, unsafe dilapidated back porch and broken windows.  
First Notified – 2/28/2022 **Via zoom**

CASE # 6 - CEB 07-22-155 - Bradford J Bowls is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A 4; Art. 6 Sec. 6.2.7, at 924 Vine St. Violation(s) – Dirt & grime on fascia and parking.  
First Notified – 1/25/2022 **Compliance 8/2/2022**

CASE # 10 - CEB 07-22-151 - Dale Elder is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3, at 1292 Bel Aire Dr. Violation(s) – Landscaping.  
First Notified – 4/6/2022 **Compliance 8/10/2022**

CASE # 14 - CEB 06-22-126 - James E & Anna M Souders is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 304,3), at 207 Centennial LN. Violation(s) – No permit for new windows, closing of door, unpainted exterior surfaces, and lack of address numbers.  
First Notified – 5/26/2021 **Compliance 8/4/2022**

CASE # 19 - CEB 07-22-152 - Nathan Parsell is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 304.2, 304.6), at 2430 S Peninsula Dr. Violation(s) – Peeling paint.  
First Notified – 10/14/2021 **Compliance 8/3/2022**

CASE # 24 - CEB 08-22-175 - Adrian Kolarovski is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1, at 25 River Dunes Dr. Violation(s) – Boat dock built without a permit. First Notified – 4/1/2022

**Case will be not heard per Mark Jones Inspector Supervisor**

CASE # 25- CEB '08-22-197 - Abraham Hutchinson is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 5 Sec. 5.3.19.; Art. 6 Sec. 6.2.H.7.a.; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art.8 Sec.2.A.; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.13, 308.1), at 735 Marion St. Violation(s) – Outside storage, trash/debris, parking on unimproved surface, broken window, inoperable vehicles, nonconforming use (RV's being used as living quarters). First Notified – 12:00:00 AM **First Notified date is 6/21/2022.**

**Scrivener's error on first notice just on this agenda but was sent with correct information.**

CASE # 31 - CEB 08-22-174 - Marie Therese Couture Petit is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.7), at 315 N Grandview Ave. Violation(s) – Dirt & grime, failure to repair discolored/damaged walls. First Notified – 4/29/2022  
**Via zoom**

CASE # 38 - CEB 08-22-189 - Beth G Lemke is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1, at 917 N Oleander Ave & 915. Violation(s) – Concrete walkway (no permit). First Notified – 6/15/2022

**Compliance 8/10/2022**

Mrs. Kuendig stated the procedures and called the first case LR-1

### **Lien Review # 1**

CEB 09-21-269 - Samantha R Smoke is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 304.6, 304.13, 304.14, 305.3, 305.6, 604.3, 704.2), at 542 Live Oak Ave. Violation(s) – Defective interior and exterior surfaces, missing insect screens, broken windows, outside storage, missing smoke detectors, defective electrical outlets, wiring and fixtures, no permit for interior door frame. First Notified – 4/28/2021 Order Imposing Fine/Lien effective October 14, 2021. \$200.00 a day to a maximum of \$15,000.00. Compliance = July 26, 2022. plus, recording cost \$24.00 and Interest \$366.66 = \$15,390.66

Richard Grice sworn in

Anthony Jackson City Attorney states as the staff has previously provided the information to the board that the staff would be pre meeting with individuals as we consider lean reviews.

This is the situation where the staff has met or was not a part that, but staff Mrs. Kuendig asked if there was premeeting and Mr. Jackson replies yes there was a pre-meeting between the staff and Mr. Grice as you see through the agenda the property belonged to Samantha Smoke at the time when the lean was imposed. Grice is a subsequent purchaser, and he became the property owner back in December of 2021, December 28<sup>th</sup> would have been the date of his warranty deed. The staff in meeting with Mr. Grice in discussing the circumstances the status of the lien agreed to reduce the lean to the amount of \$6,500.00 as to Mr. Grice only, which would be on the as to the partial only.

So as a partial release, we would only be releasing the partial and the lean which would remain attached to as to Samantha Smoke, who was the actual violator. Mrs. Keundig states she doesn't understand and asked Mr. Jackson to explain Mr. Grice would pay the \$6,500.00 but the difference of the \$15,000 which is \$8,890.66 that will remain attached to Ms. Smoke.

Mr. Harrington asked if the staff like to share the discussion because I have a lot of questions according to what the defendant has listed here don't see that you came to that agreement, so I'd like to know how you came to that.

Mr. Jackson stated I sure staff would be glad to share that yes, as we've discussed before it's something that ultimately shouldn't be here. Mr. Harrington shares what it says here the gentleman spent his life saving and he never looked at the property that's pretty unique.

You're paying 40,000 odd dollars for property that sold to previous owners for \$10 something bothers me there.

Mr. Jackson says okay, well I'm if I can and I'm sorry, I'll have to let him speak on that.

Generally, when it says it's sold for \$10, doesn't necessary sells \$10.

Mr. Harrington says that records are useless.

Mr. Jackson replies No, I don't know if people put that on and just sell the property.

Mr. Cino Board Attorney says you get away with pay less taxes fees. Mr. Harrington says that's saying to be looked into.

Mr. Jackson explains it's not something that would understand necessarily for the benefit of the public Again, I'm not challenging any question you have there just wanted to make it clear if question was if presumptuous that it was previously purchased.

Mr. Harrington wants to know if the reasoning of what the defendant wrote for his reason to be reduced that his life savings. Mr. Harrington asked Is this only piece of property you own.

Mr. Grice replies no, sir have another piece of property, yes.

Mr. Harrington says that's not your first gambit into real estate. Mr. Grice replies well, in my defense this my first property that I purchased that was boarded up and I was not afforded the opportunity to go into. This is the very first one.

Mr. Denzil Sykes Neighborhood Services Manager addressed, Mr. Harrington if I may and announced his credentials and stated when we met with Mr. Grice, one of the things we did consider in reducing the fine for him was the fact he did do a title search and the title company failed to give him information about the lien prior to and we do have that warranty deed and the name of that company is Covenant Clothing entitled Services. He go through the proper process but somewhere they failed him in releasing that information to him so once we went our notes and found out that in fact the lien had been recorded prior to the company coming on board when they should have revealed it him that was part of our decision to reduce it somewhat and once he got into the property he did go ahead immediately and clean up the property he had to deal with squatters as well as utilities and reconnected at cost as well that to was apart our consideration when we may that decision.

Mr. Jackson asked Mr. Grice if it was it as is purchase, he replies yes as is purchase.

Mr. Gonzalez asked for an explanation from the staff/Attorney if Mr. Grice is paying 6,500.00 but he didn't hear where previous owner has 30 days, 90 days to pay it.

Mr. Jackson says he'd be happy to speak to that, Mrs. Keundig get him the go head to respond,

Mr. Jackson states generally, well first of all the previous owner is not the one coming forward seeking any relief. Generally, if there was no relief, it would still be in full. And we're willing to give relief to new owner, but not relief of the actual violator who are prior owner. And the property resulted in as Mr. Grice described had to be boarded up, people that were squatters staying in there. And so, it required various action of the city to try and maintain it. And in some ways, it came as a relief to have someone to buy it and maintain it. So, there was advantageous to the city and we're happy to have this responded in the sense that he did come in clean up. But nevertheless, we've always perceived that someone buying in the status from which he bought would probably have gotten some benefit of the bargain. Mr. Cino says let me see if I get your recommendation clear for \$6,500.00 release this property in total. This would release this gentleman here but would still keep it lien out there for other properties that may exist in county or city for anything else that's under Ms. Smoke name. Mr. Jackson replies that correct. Mrs. Keundig questions if Ms. Smoke is still responsible for \$8,890.66 Mr. Jackson replies she can come and seek relief if she has property that she has being burdened by lien.

Mrs. Kuendig replies think there's confusion because we don't see this too often you know, I don't recently recall anything like this, but Mr. Grice you need have time to talk let's hear from you.

Mr. Grice replies, other than what's already been said, I don't have anything more to add to it

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey makes motion to go with the city recommendations to reduce the lien For Mr. Grice to the \$6,500.00 second Mr. Reinhart and motion was approved 6-0.

## Continued Case:

CASE # 1 - CEB 07-22-161 - Liliosa Bohenzky EST is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A34; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.4 Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.1, 302.3, 302.7, 304.2, 304.5, 304.6,304.11), at 401 N Oleander Ave. Violation(s) – Failure to repair damaged concrete, failure to repair peeling & discolored paint, failure to remove all exterior storage, failure to remove trash & debris and loose bricks, failure to clean all fascia board, failure to clean dirt and grime, failure to repair damaged roof. First Notified – 6/28/2021

Tara Salvati previous caregiver – representative of EST

Inspector Jean-Baptiste testifies since last hearing he's had several communications with Tara she has made progress city is asking non-compliance compliance by next cut off.

Mr. Jackson reminds the board that this is case were clarified to the board there was a level of administration that appointed Ms. Salvati as the personal representative, and we had acknowledged that she was represented by Ms. Moustafa we actually had a causal meeting I came across him in another circumstance and knew he was going to follow up. But she does have authority.

And I do have copy of that. Mrs. Keundig replies that's great that's what we're looking for.

And Ms. Salavati and Mr. Jackson states that was of July of 2022.

Mrs. Seivwright addressed the scrivener's error it should have been continuance.

Mr. Jackson explains board action it should be continuance of non-compliance/compliance.

Ms. Salvati is given opportunity to say something she states she needs more time she's at a still.

**Board Action:** Mr. Reinhart made motion to find the Respondent in Non-Compliance and ordered the Respondent to come into Compliance by **September 3, 2022**, or be returned to the board for consideration of the imposition of a fine up to \$1000 per day. second Ms. Marsh the same motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 2 - CEB 07-22-156 - Jaquelyn Kemp is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 304.6,304.9), at 1305 North St. Violation(s) – Structural protective treatment, exterior walls, overhang extensions. First Notified – 4/21/2021

No respondent

Inspector Alderman testifies since the last hearing progress has been made on this case toward compliance on this case Ms. Kemp has had family members help her, I had contact with her numerous of times and also with the Community Development Mr. Bill Orrender she's on a list he did send me a copy.

Mr. Harrington asked was she on the list last time? Mr. Alderman his reply was yes, she's been on it quite some time.

Mr. Harrington says he don't recall a vote why did we oppose the fine? Board response to give more time because she was on the list.

Staff is asking to amend until October cut off.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Reinhart made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **October 5, 2022**, to come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mrs. Robey second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 3 - CEB 01-22-16 - Maebell Bizzell is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1, at 1058 N Libby Ct. Violation(s) – No permit (Roof). First Notified – 8/18/2021

Annette Pratt sworn in Daughter of Maebell Bizzell

Inspector Butler testifies since last month court proceedings, he had contact with property owner and this is the probate case the probate attorney has completed the case and now she has a deed in hand copies are being made as we speak so she can now apply for the permit, which is going to be after the fact permit.

Ms. Pratt states she unable to contact the contractor who did the work, she really doesn't know how to contact him since he not answering his phone and she's never been through anything like this before. Was asked by Mr. Reinhart asked if the contractor was local Ms. Pratt was unsure and states she does know and Mr. Harrington states I guess you have a contract with him or are you trying to get a contract, Ms. Pratt's response was no he said he was contractor and could do the work and I my house had a hole in it he says he was contractor and can do it.

Mrs. Kuendig asked Mr. Sykes what we do in a case like this. Mr. Sykes responses maybe we can assist her and instructs Ms. Pratt to give Officer Butler the information, maybe we'll able to contact him ourselves and get him in touch with you.

Mrs.Keundig asked is their procedure if this person can't be contacted, Mr. Sykes replies she would definitely have to get with permits and licensing, because what they're asking for is the after the fact permit and normally the contractor who did the work needs to be the one to fill out the paperwork. Mr. Reinhart asked on whether it's a contractor or just and individual that did the roof and it's going to be very hard the permit after the fact if they don't have insurance and all the things that are required from a business.

Mr. Sykes instructs Ms. Pratt to go to permits and licensing and you may have to wait for Mr. Butler, but he can assist you with that.

Mrs. Kuendig sympathizes with Ms. Pratt by saying well, try to help you out it's a tough situation. Asked the Ms. Pratt if she lives there her response was yes.

Staff would like to request to amend until the October cutoff date.

**BOARD ACTION:** Ms. Marsh made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **October 5, 2022**, to come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr.Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

Mrs.Keundig acknowledged Ms. Marsh name was omitted from the agenda as a member of the Board.

Mr. Gonzalez leaves court room @ 0935

CASE # 5 - CEB 07-22-149 - VMJ Investments LLC is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.,304.10 304.13), at 937 Glenwood St. Violation(s) – Dilapidated fence, dilapidated front porch, unsafe dilapidated back porch and broken windows. First Notified – 2/28/2022 **via zoom**

No respondent

Inspector Butler testifies since last month court proceedings he had several contacts with owner All the violation has been adhered to except for the windows the staffs is requesting Amend to next cutoff.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **AUGUST 31, 2022**, to come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Reinhart second the same and motion was approved 5-0.

CASE # 7 - CEB 07-22-160 - PB & J Walker LLC is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.2.7; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2 A(Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.8), at 1005 Essex Rd. Violation(s) – Disassembling vehicles and outdoor storage. First Notified – 11/29/2021

No respondent

Mr. Gonzalez Returns @ 09:39

Inspector Butler testifies since last month's proceedings I have had contact with the owner. However, the tenants have moved out the only thing was left with some outdoor storage to be addressed. Staff request to amend until the September cutoff. Spoke to him yesterday and I spoke with yesterday they're going to get all that cleaned up.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Reinhart made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **AUGUST 31, 2022**, to come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mrs. Robey second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 8 - CEB 05-22-105 - South Wild Olive LLC C/O Huberto Berrios is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S1; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 304.3, 304.10, 304.13), at 128 S Wild Olive Ave. Violation(s) – Unpermitted construction, outside storage, protective treatment, missing address number, dilapidated porch, missing windows. First Notified – 5/1/2021

No respondent

Inspector Yates testifies since last hearing they passed multiple inspections and continues to work under permit staff would like to amend the compliance date to the November hearing.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **November 2, 2022**, to come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

**BOARD ACTION** Mrs. Robey Amended the city's recommendation of November cutoff and Ms. Marsh amends her second motion was approved 6-0

CASE # 9 - CEB 07-22-147 - Timothy Bagnall is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 304.6, 304.7), at 801 N Grandview Ave & 803. Violation(s) – Peeling paint, damaged area of soffit and fascia, broken landscaping wall. First Notified – 1/24/2022

**Compliance 8/9/2022**

CASE # 11 - CEB 06-22-125 - Brett & Jennifer Crawley is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1, at 3021 N Oleander Ave. Violation(s) – No permit for deck and rear structure. First Notified – 11/2/2021

No respondents

Inspector Yates testified that he had no contact, and no progress has been made on any permits and I like to ask for a fine of \$100per day to maximum of \$10,000.

Mrs.Keundig asked if they asked for variances or zoning meeting or anything.

Mr. Yates replies they haven't responded to the comments that were required on their permits since May 3<sup>rd</sup>.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Reinhart made a motion to impose a fine of \$100 per day effective **August 11, 2022**, to a maximum of \$10,000 or until compliance is achieved, Mrs. Robey seconded the same and motion was approved 6-0

CASE # 12 - CEB 06-22-138 - Nicole J Marjanowicz is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1, at 300 Waverly Cir. Violation(s) – No permit for roof and fence / wall work. First Notified – 4/22/2022

Nicole Marjanowicz sworn in

Inspector Stenson testifies since the last hearing the property owner has visited our office a few times Project has been moving forward the roof permit has been finale.

City recommending that we amend this case until the September cutoff date.

Ms. Marjanowicz asked to extend till it's cooler says it's too hot outside, Mrs. Kuendig asked who's doing the work she replies some people that I know, and Mrs. Keundig asked if she had a contract with them her reply was no, they're just going to put the bricks. Then asked if she lived there and question from the board to the inspector would she need a permit that she needed a license contractor? Inspector Stenson replies no, she does have a permit and she has a homeowner's permit. Staff would like to amend until September cutoff date.

Respondent again requested extension until it's colder and was denied and told there might be a hurricane and this needs to be taken care of.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Gonzalez made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **AUGUST 31, 2022**, to come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Reinhart second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 13- CEB 06-22-124 - Yvonne C Pierce is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPCM 304.7), at 105 N Paul Revere Dr. Violation(s) – Damaged roof. First Notified – 5/28/2021

No respondent

Inspector Stenson testifies to the status of this case since the last hearing we were waiting for assistance for getting the roof, I still haven't heard from anything from the city on that nor have I heard from the property owners Staff would like to amend until September cutoff date. Because I the assistance program usually takes longer than usual.

Mrs. Kuendig states this case is well over a year old has he applied? Inspector Stenson replies he had the interview to see if he was eligible for the assistance Mrs. Kuendig asked was, he inspector Stenson replies I haven't received any more information other than what we discussed previously.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 15 - CEB 07-22-159 - Frederick Smallkoff is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPCM 304.2, 304.6, 304.7, 304.15, 605.1), at 512 Riverview Blvd. Violation(s) – Exterior electrical box, peeling paint, exterior walls (rotting material), gutters, and damaged garage doors. First Notified – 4/28/2022

No respondent

Inspector testifies that it was a citizen compliant since the last hearing, there has been no contact, no change in the property we believe the owner maybe deceased property was posted multiple time re-inspections the last one being yesterday.

City asking for imposition of a fine of \$100 per day to be capped at \$10,000.00.

Mr. Keundig states she believes respondent is deceased it's around the corner from her, but she doesn't have knowledge of that, and Mr. Gonzalez agrees he deceased.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Robey made a motion to impose a fine of \$100 per day effective **August 11, 2022**, to a maximum of \$10,000 or until compliance is achieved, Mr. Reinhart seconded the same and motion was approved 6-0

### **A new case called out order for reason has a delivery arriving**

CASE # 29 - CEB 08-22-172 - David M Goosman is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPCM 302.1, 302.7, 304.2, 304.6), at 44 S Hollywood Ave. Violation(s) – Trash & debris, dirt & grime, unmaintained landscaping, peeling & discolored paint. First Notified – 10/15/2021

David Gossman sworn in

Inspector Baptiste testifies this was a field observation on October 14<sup>th</sup>, 2021, with the following violations trash and debris, dirt, and grime unmaintained, land and peeling paint. Notice of violation was sent certified mail it was delivered on October 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021, with in 30days to comply. Upon reinspection on June 25<sup>th</sup>, as it is not in compliance, I've had no contact with the owner up to this point.

Staff is requesting a finding of noncompliance compliance next cutoff. At this time the unmaintained landscaping is in compliance and the trash and debris is also in compliance.

The chairman continues to discuss things that still be compliant

Mr. Gossman is asking for more time. to raise the money to complete the job and says 95% of the house is complete.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **October 5, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 17 - CEB 09-21-268 - Ernestine Johnson is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec 6.12.B; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 304.7, 308.1), at 464 S Caroline St. Violation(s) – Graffiti, peeling paint, exposed wood, damaged carport roof, debris, overgrown grass. First Notified – 4/17/2021

No respondent

Inspector Kirk since last hearing I haven't had any contact, the building has been demolished just waiting on the final on the demolition permit staff's requesting to amend to the next cut off. Mrs. Kuendig asked if she ever find Ms. Johnson? I guess I understand. Mr. Cino asked if it's been demolished why not just close the case? Ms. Kirk replies I have to wait for the permit to be finalized.

Mrs.Keundig asked the owner doesn't have to have anything to do with that.

Mr. Sykes responses in this case it was a complete burnout, and it was a safety and health issue as well.so the city just went ahead and they did send out proper notices to whomever, but they never got a respond=se to a condemnation process.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0

CASE # 18 - CEB 07-22-164 - Vivian M Brown EST & Joy Brown-Maxwell et al, Tia Watson, Richard Thomas is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.2.H.7.a; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.4; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.1, 302.7, 302.8, 308.1), at 425 Maple St. Violation(s) – Overgrowth and debris, damaged fence, inoperable vehicles parked in yard, unsanitary conditions, inadequate front door, missing flashing. First Notified – 3/3/2022

No respondent

Inspector Kirk testifies since last hearing no contact, no progress staff is requesting \$100.00 per day fine to a max of \$10,000.00.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Gonzalez made a motion to impose a fine of \$100 per day effective **August 11, 2022,** to a maximum of \$10,000 or until compliance is achieved, Mrs. Robey seconded the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 20 - CEB 07-22-162 - J WM Phillips is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.1, 304.1, 304.7, 702.4), at 1505 Crescent Ridge Rd. Violation(s) – Roof and drainage, fascia, dirt, and grime, peeling paint, outside storage, egress. First Notified – 2/9/2022

Randall Phillips sworn

Inspector Bostwick testifies since the last hearing had contact with owners' multiple times. Some progress has been made the staff requesting to amend to next cutoff and they will speak on the issues that they're having. Mrs. Keundig asked what progress Mr. Bostwick states it started to paint around the garage area. they took down all the outside storage that was behind those vehicles. Think he told me they hired a contractor to come and paint the main house, but something happens, and he couldn't come.

Mr. Phillips says the contractor is a good friend of his and his brother whose is autistic his mom passed away, was the caregiver. He just dealing with the legality but should be here today to paint that second story. And there is tree that was needed to be taken care of there, which I'll be taking care of personally. And the fascia has been painted.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Marsh made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until, **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mrs. Robey second the same and motion was approved 6-0

CASE # 21 - CEB 04-22-83 - Melissa A Chaney is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.4; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.1, 302.8, 304.5, 304.6, 304.10, 304.14, 308.1, 308.2, 308.2.2, 308.3, 308.10, 605.1), at 314 Fletcher Ave & 316. Violation(s) – Storage, landscaping, exterior appearance standards, sanitation (rubbish), junk vehicles, roof & drainage, damaged block stoop, rubbish & garbage, disposal of rubbish, disposal of refrigerator or similar equipment, disposal of garbage, electrical wiring to equipment, exterior foundation & walls, exterior porches, and window screens. First Notified – 7/22/2021

No respondent

Inspector Jones testified since the last hearing, he had contact with the owner there's just a little bit of Storage that still need to be corrected. They done a lot. Staff is requesting to next cutoff.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0

Mr. Gonzalez stepped out 10:13am

Mr. Gonzalez returns @ 10:17am

New cases:

CASE # 22 - CEB 08-22-177 - Michelle Glennie is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3, at 334 Taylor Ave. Violation(s) – Dirt & grime. First Notified – 1/29/2022

Michelle Glennie sworn in

Inspector Wiggins testifies the case was open January 27<sup>th</sup> 2022, and was a field generated case The notice received was January 29<sup>th</sup> for dirt and grime. I've had contact with the owner actually August 8<sup>th</sup>, which was Monday about her conditions of her house, and it still remains in noncompliance.

Staffing is recommending noncompliance, compliance next cutoff. Mrs. Kuendig asked if she could get the work done.

Ms. Glennie states that her son in law came over Sunday and power washed the whole house. The house looks totally different since my son in law power washed. And I can't paint with the concrete falling out.

Mrs. Keundig asked if she could get the dirt and grime off the building.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0

CASE # 23 - CEB 08-22-169 - Dianne R Alexander is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1, at 3 Clarendon Ct. Violation(s) – No permit for building renovation. First Notified – 2/17/2021

No respondent

Inspector Yates testified this case was opened February 9, 2021, and was citizen compliant. The notice of violation was issued for no permit for renovation. They were first notified February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2021. Compliance was due March 19<sup>th</sup>, 2021. I've had contact with owner they had a permit the permit expired but it's been reinstated they said they should be able to complete it by October, so I'd like to ask for finding of noncompliance with compliance by the October cutoff.

**BOARD ACTION:** Ms. Marsh made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **October 5, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mrs. Robey second the same and motion was approved 6-0

CASE # 24 - CEB 08-22-175 - Adrian Kolarovski is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1, at 25 River Dunes Dr. Violation(s) – Boat dock built without a permit.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to continue this case to the next meeting Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0

CASE # 25- CEB '08-22-197 - Abraham Hutchinson is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 5 Sec. 5.3.19.; Art. 6 Sec. 6.2.H.7.a.; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art.8 Sec.2.A.; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.13, 308.1), at 735 Marion St. Violation(s) – Outside storage, trash/debris, parking on unimproved surface, broken window, inoperable vehicles, nonconforming use (RV's being used as living quarters). First Notified – 6/21/2022

No respondent

Inspector Kirk testifies this is a compliant generated case that was opened no May 4<sup>th</sup>2022. For Outside storage, trash, debris, parking on unimproved surface, broken window, inoperable vehicles, nonconforming use RV's being used as living quarters First Notified – 6/21/2022 I reinspected yesterday and it's still in noncompliance I have only had contact from a tenant staff is asking for finding

of noncompliance with compliance by the next cutoff date. Mrs.Keundig asked if anything has been done.

Mr. Harrington asked besides just code violations, are there any health and safety issues there. Ms. Kirk replies it's not allowed, it appears someone is living in that particular one in the back. I did cite. Mrs. Keundig asked that's not allowed anywhere in the city, is that correct? Ms. Kirk replies in a residential area no.mr. Harrington asked a question if it's just code violation. Mr. Jackson replies it's a zoning violation, right Ms. Kirk replies it is, Mr. Jackson there may be other issues, but that's what she cited for zoning violation.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Reinhart made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Harrington second the same and motion was approved 6-0

CASE # 26 - CEB 08-22-180 - Kimberly Mount is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2), at 116 S Keech St. Violation(s) – Exposed wood on fascia. First Notified – 1/18/2022

**case will not be heard due to proper notice not given**

CASE # 27 - CEB 08-22-181 - John R Hyatt Jr. is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 504.3, 505.1), at 615 Tarragona Way. Violation(s) – Lack of utilities, faded and stained exterior surfaces. First Notified – 2/1/2022

**case will not be heard due to proper notice not given**

CASE # 28 - CEB 08-22-170 - Alice Schneider is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.1, 302.3, 302.4, 302.7, 304.2, 304.6), at 147 S Oleander Ave. Violation(s) – Failure to repair discolored and fading paint, failure to clean dirt and grime, unmaintained landscaping. First Notified – 12/8/2021

No respondent

Inspector Jean-Baptiste testifies this was a field observation on December 4th, 2021, with the following violations. Failure to repair discolored and fading paint. Failure to clean dirt and grime, and unmaintained landscaping. Notice sent certified mail. It was delivered on December 8th, 2021, with a 30 day compliance. Inspection on June 5th, 2022, founded not to be in compliance. I've had no contact with the owner. Staff is requesting a finding of noncompliance, compliance by the next cut off.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

Mr. Harrington steps out at 10:27am

Mr. Harrington returns at 10:31 am

CASE # 30 - CEB 08-22-173 - Thomas Valentin is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.1, 302.4, 304.3), at 114 S Peninsula Dr. Violation(s) – Dirt & grime, unmaintained landscaping, no street address. First Notified – 11/24/2021 via zoom

Thomas Valentin sworn in

Inspector Jean-Baptiste testifies this a field observation case on November 19<sup>th</sup>, 2021, with the following violations Dirt & grime, unmaintained landscaping, no street that in crime, unmaintained landscaping, No Street address, notice about violation which was generated. And sent certified mail. It was delivered on November 24<sup>th</sup>, 2021, with a 30 day time frame for compliance upon a site re inspection on June 25<sup>th</sup>, 2022. Facility is not a compliance. I've had contact with the owner. Staff is requesting a finding of noncompliance by the next cutoff.

Mrs.Keundig states looks like some of these things might be pretty easy to fix. It is.

Mr. Valentin says it is but due to the nature of my job, I'm a Merchant sailor the first notice. I missed it. And I'm pretty much gone all the time. And then I just recently just got married. my wife got the notice. And then she told me about it, but apparently, we had a noticed before, we're just asking for little extension and we'll take care of it. should be no problem

Mrs. Kuendig asked is your wife living at the house now.

Mr. Valentin responses Yes, I just got married. the house is pretty much locked down when I'm gone. But since I'm married now, my wife is there. Mrs.Kuendig explains the reason of the hearing and what we're doing today is. We're recognizing that work needs to be done. Right. You know, you know that stuff needs to be done.

Mrs.Kuendig asked are there any issues with notifications we need to talk about here? There was no issues. Inspector replies It was signed for It was delivered on November 24<sup>th</sup>, 2021.So we don't have any. Notification issues, notifcaification it was it signed four by previous owner.

Mr. Baptise replies I can't make out the name. Mrs. Keundig asked the respondent So. Did you sign for the notification, or your wife signed for the notification on 11/24/21?

Mr. Valentin replies to no.

Mrs.Kuendig asked Mr. Valentin who would have signed for that if it wasn't you? His response was maybe the previous homeowner. Then she asked when did you buy the house? I bought a house in 2013. I didn't move in there into last year. So, it could have been the tenant that signed for that. technically yeah, he became the tenant, but he moved out last year.

OK. Do we have an issue with that or do we not legally we don't have an issue with that. So OK, I just wanted to clear that up to make sure that everybody understood that. There was no. There's no legal issue with notification. Here's what we're here for today. Mr. Valentin, we need that we can see the property needs to be cleaned up. So that's all we're here today to do is to say the property needs to be cleaned up. Mr. Baptiste. What amount of time would you like? What are you recommending initiatively I was recommending till next cut off. But I did speak to his wife yesterday. I believe I spoke to your wife and that he will be home soon. So, we're looking for October cut off.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **October 5, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 5-0.

CASE # 31 - CEB 08-22-174 - Marie Therese Couture Petit is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.7), at 315 N Grandview Ave. Violation(s) – Dirt & grime, failure to repair discolored/damaged walls. First Notified – 4/29/2022

Francios Petit son of the respondent

Inspector Baptiste testifies this wasn't field observation on December 4th, 2021, with the following violations. Failure to repair discolored and fitting paint, failure to clean dirt and grime, and unmaintained landscaping. So, this evaluation was generated. It was sent certified mail. It was delivered on December 8th, 2021, with a 30-day compliance Start reinspection on June 5th, 2022 found a facility not to be in compliance. I've had no contact with the owner. Staff is requesting, a finding of non-compliance compliance by the next cut off.

And was told to correct with Volusia County property appraisal site for address correction.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Reinhart made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **October 5, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mrs. Robey second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 32- CEB 08-22-182 - Tonya D Douglas is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.4; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.7, 304.7), at 122 Azalea Dr. Violation(s) – Dirt & grime, dilapidated fence, and damaged fascia. First Notified – 1/29/2022

Tonya Douglas sworn in

Inspector Butler testifies as pertains to 122 Azalea Dr; this is a field generated case. I conducted a site inspection on January 26, 2022, where it was determined the property had dilapidated fencing, dirt and grime and facial issues. First notice was received on January 29th, 2022. On March 29th, 2022, the violation was to be in compliance. The last date of reinspection was July 28, 2022. Results of inspection was noncompliance. I've had contact with the property owner who is working towards compliance staff, requests to find him not compliance next cut off. Working towards compliance step re inspection with July 28th, 2022, risotto MIRY inspection was noncompliance.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Gonzalez made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mrs. Robey second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 33- CEB 08-22-183 - LaTrenda S Saulter Dorival is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2, 304.3), at 186 Edwards St. Violation(s) – Unmaintained landscaping, peeling paint, no house numbers. First Notified – 3/1/2022

No respondent

Inspector Butler as pertains to 186 Edward St, this is the field generated case. I conducted a site inspection on February 22nd, 2022, as it was determined the property had peeling paint, landscaping, and none. I know house numbers. Excuse me, our first notice word received on March 1st, 2022. On April 1st, 2022, the violation was to be in compliance. Last date of RE inspection was July 28, 2022, resulted. Of my inspection was noncompliance. I've had contact with the property owner staff requests finding noncompliance compliance next cut off.

Mrs. Keundig observes from the pictures it doesn't look like there's a lot to do.

Mr. Butler replies to you can't see it's just the peeling paint and they see those little spots and Yep, front. OK, so she's working on getting that. OK, good.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 34 - CEB 08-22-185 - Akin Shenbanjo is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.7), at 744 Mercedes Ave. Violation(s) – Outdoor storage, landscaping, dilapidated garage.  
First Notified – 3/2/2022

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Reinhart second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

No respondent

Inspector Butler testifies as pertaining to 744 Mercedes Ave, this was a field generated case. I conducted a site inspection on February the 24th, 2022 where it was determined the property had dilapidated garage outdoor storage. The first notice was received on March 2nd, 2022. On April the 1st, 2022. The violation was to be in compliance last state of row inspection was July 28, 2022. Risotto Marie inspection was noncompliance. I've had no contact and no progress staff requests to find out noncompliance compliance. Next cut off.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Reinhart second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 35- CEB 08-22-186 - Leroy C Bell & Lillie M Bell is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 304.2), at 1305 Idlewild Dr. Violation(s) – Dirt and grime. First Notified – 3/1/2022

No respondent

Inspector Butler testifies as pertains to 1305 Idlewild Drive. this was a field generated case I conducted a site inspection on February 24th, 2022, where it was determined the property and dirt and grime issues first notice was received on March 1st, 2022. On March 31st, 2022, the violation was to be complying. Last date of row inspection was July 28th, 2022. The result of my inspection was noncompliance. I've had contact with the owner, however there's been no progress staff requests to find out of non-compliance compliance. Next cut off.

**BOARD ACTION:** Mr. Reinhart made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 36 - CEB 08-22-187 - Jake L Coleman & Hugh A Coleman is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1, at 323 Boylston Ave. Violation(s) – Expired roof permit. First Notified – 5/23/2022

Jake L Coleman & Hugh A Coleman sworn in

Inspector John Stenson this case was generated by a police complaint. It was an expired group permit. First notice was received on 23 May 22. I have been in contact with the property owner and the compliance date was 14 June 22. There's been multiple re inspections that communications between property owners. Staff recommendation is non-compliant to compliance by the next off.

Mr. Hugh states they find out who the roofer was but he not dependable and he the roof owes \$500 to permits & licensing department. And he's lack of daisical.

Mr. Anthony Jackson city attorney gives clarification he's aware they are being trying and I'm sure they'll continue to try to get back with contractor who actually did the roof, I'm just clarification from the inspector compliance, that would be get a final on the roof that's pretty much it I mean, if needed it can be found without additional work required, we don't believe it's as ultimately as complex if they can skip B stage b and they've been working on that but we don't believe and if not, there's alternatives that they could speak should be speaking permits and license will.

**ABOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 37- CEB 08-22-188 - Rebecca Mary Carr cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3, at 357 Brookline Ave. Violation(s) – Landscaping, outside storage. First Notified – 5/20/2022

Rebecca Carr sworn in

Inspector Stenson testifies this is a field generated case for outside storage in landscaping. At the press notice was received on 20 May of 22. Up until recently I didn't have any contact, but I have been in contact with from your sentence. compliance due on 20 June with multiple reinspection. performed the last one was performed yesterday. Staff recommendations should be able to come by the next. Cutoff.

**ABOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 39 - CEB 08-22-191 - Steven M Weaver Sr & Dawnella L Weaver is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.2.H.7.A; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.3, 304.2, 304.13, 304.15), at 1157 Bel Aire Dr. Violation(s) – Parking in yard, damaged stairs, unpainted surfaces, missing door, broken window. First Notified – 5/6/2022

Steven M Weaver sworn in

Inspector Stenson testifies this was a complaint driven case with multiple violations. Um. As of today, the only violation that remains uncorrected is the damage stairs in front of the House. The permit has been obtained. And he would like to. I have no problem. Good made a whole lot of progress. You live

there? I do. So, a whole lot of progress being made. That's great. We love that. You have your permit. Can you get it done by that?

Mr. Weaver replies I am a general contractor and I'm 60 of age and I'm having a supply chain issues and I built in Fort Pierce, and I commute 150 miles every day. I ultimately had to generate my own drawings because I couldn't get an architect so I'm in agreement with. Mr. Stenson, in that the stairs do in fact need to be replaced. I tried to repair them, but we met out there. Both agreed it wasn't that. Cut it. So, I've studied the code and drew my own plans. And I got that in last week or so. I can't get concrete until. More than likely until the middle of September. But that does give me enough time to not lose my job and to get the stairs formed up and inspected know I'll be done by November 3rd. The question is if I could be done by October 3rd. So, in order to save you guys' time and me a little bit stress, I'd like to see if you give me 90 days to finish it.

Mrs. Kuendig replies you know, we'd like. What I probably would vote for was 60 days and you come back, and you know, I'll probably have it done. I'm. I'm, yeah. And then we'll discuss the rest of it then. I don't know how the rest of the board feels.

**ABOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **October 5, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Reinhart second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 40 - CEB 08-22-192 - Brian K Wildasin trustee of Brian K Wildasinn Trust is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3, at 409 Zelda Blvd. Violation(s) – Unmaintained landscaping, outside storage. First Notified – 6/8/2022  
No respondent

Inspector Stenson this is a complaint driven case. It was better for outside storage and maintain landscaping. First notices posted on property on 8 June. I've had no contact from the owner. Finds the zoo on 29 June multiple Reinfections last from being yesterday. Property remains not compliance with compliance by the next no contacts.

**ABOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **October 5, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 41 - CEB 08-22-193 - Yvonne C Simon & Gary E Holt is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3; Art. 9 Sec. 9.2.A (Ref. FBC Supp. IPMC 302.7, 304.6), at 250 University Blvd. Violation(s) – Unmaintained landscaping, outside storage, damaged fencing, exterior wood rot. First Notified – 6/10/2022

Gary Holt sworn in

Inspector Stenson testifies he has been in contact with property owner compliance due July 8<sup>th</sup> with multiple reinspection. Last one being yesterday property remains noncompliance staff Is asking for compliance next cutoff.

And explains what needs to be done for compliance to respondent and he's aware of what needs to be done. Mrs. Kuendig explains respondent needs to talk to Mr. Stenson and he is asking for more time.

**ABOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **October 5, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Ms. Marsh second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 42 - CEB 08-22-194 - Jon Gorman is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3, at 730 N Peninsula Dr. Violation(s) – Unmaintained landscaping. First Notified – 6/24/2022

Jon Gorman sworn in  
No contact

**ABOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Reinhart made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 43 - CEB 08-22-168 - Carolle Marie Catul is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1 General, at 815 Vernon St. Violation(s) – Unpermitted screen porch. First Notified – 5/19/2022

No respondent

Inspector Jones testifies this was a compliant driven case that was opened May 5<sup>th</sup>, 2022, the first notice given on May 19<sup>th</sup> with compliance due June 20<sup>th</sup> I've had contact from the contractor representing the owner. They submitted an application this week missing quiet bit of information they need to get a survey and so forth. Staff is recommending a finding of noncompliance with compliance due next cutoff.

**ABOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Robey made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 44 - CEB 08-22-167 - Daniel G Langton is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1 General, at 428 Pelican Ave. Violation(s) – Work without permits, closing in two window openings, creating a new opening and installed a new window, installing new front door, enclosing carport, replacing existing window on the rear of the building with sliding glass doors, installing new front windows on the north and east side. installing new fence, installing new a/c unit, remodeling bathroom. First Notified – 4/25/2022

Daniel Langton and Maryann Wilson sworn in

Inspector Jones testifies this a citizen compliant for work without permits the first notice was received On April 25<sup>th</sup> with compliance due May 26<sup>th</sup> this property has numerous items done without permits there were two windows that were enclosed installed. a front new door was installed. Carport was enclosed, they removed four windows in the front and the rear of the building and installed sliding glass doors. They replaced three windows in the front of the building with fixed windows and without a permit and if this is a bedroom, you cannot do that because you've lost the egress out of that room. But I have not been in the unit they installed a new fence, installed new air conditioning, and remodeled the bathroom. Numerous permits have been pulled, none of them final at this time. Staff is recommending A finding of noncompliance with compliance due next cutoff.

Mrs. Kuendig say you need some permits

Maryann Wilson states they went downstairs to check the status of permits the permits have been approved so being new to all this, we request from the city what needs to permit and what doesn't. but

since receiving the complaints and the violations we are trying to comply. In our minds we were doing repairs.

**ABOARD ACTION:** Mrs. Reinhart made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and correct the spelling of respondent's last name. and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Gonzalez second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

CASE # 45 - CEB 08-22-178 - Robert Young is cited for failure to correct violations of The Land Development Code, Art. 3 Sec. 3.4.S.1; Art. 6 Sec. 6.19.A.3, at 563 Foote Ct Violation(s) – Peeling paint, no permit for fence & railing. First Notified – 3/19/2022

Robert young sworn in

Inspector Jones testifies this case opened on January 4<sup>th</sup>, 2022, for fence installed without a permit, peeling painted a railing missing on the second-floor balcony. The first notice received on March 19<sup>th</sup> without notice with compliance due April 18<sup>th</sup>, 2022. I've had numerous contacts with the owner. I staff requesting the finding of noncompliance due August 31<sup>st</sup>.

**Board action:** Mr. Gonzalez made a motion to amend the previous order of non-compliance and allow the Respondents until **August 31, 2022, to** come into compliance or be returned to a subsequent meeting for consideration of a fine up to \$1,000 per day. Mr. Harrington second the same and motion was approved 6-0.

8. **Adjournment:** 11:19